



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,917	03/23/2004	Soo-seong Kim	18865K-014600US	4012
20350	7590	08/07/2008	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			LEWIS, MONICA	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER				
EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2894	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/807,917	KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Monica Lewis	2894	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Monica Lewis. (3) Kelvin Catmull.  
 (2) Barmak Sani. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant's Figures 1A-1C and 2A-2C, Hirler (U.S. Patent No. 6,147,381) and Uenishi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,151,762).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Kelvin Catmull stated that the invention improves unclamped inductive switching and provides low on resistance. Additionally, it was stated that figure 3 which represents Applicant's invention provides low on resistance and good UIS unlike prior art figures 1A-1C and 2A-2C. Finally, it was stated that there is no reason to combine Hirler and Uenishi because Uenishi fails to disclose floating wells.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Monica Lewis/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2894  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.